

April 30, 2024

ETI EREC RFP Q&A

The following provides written questions received from potential Bidders or other interested parties in the RFP and ETI's responses. Except as the context otherwise requires, a capitalized term used but not defined in this Q&A document has the meaning set forth in Appendix A of the RFP (Glossary) or the Main Body.

1. **Question:** How many MWs is Entergy looking to procure?

Response: ETI has a need for capacity and energy resource(s) in the near- and long-term. However, ETI is not limiting bids to a specific MW quantity at this time and is soliciting economic proposals to mitigate the capacity short position it has identified through its planning processes.

2. **Question:** Are you viewing "Special Considerations", as negotiable?

Response: Section 2.4 of the Main Body expresses ETI's general views on Special Exceptions, including negotiability.

3. **Question:** Are there any additional fees throughout the subsequent phases?

Response: The RFP requires Bidders registering proposals in the RFP to pay "Proposal Submission Fees" as provided in Section 3.3 of the Main Body. The RFP does not contemplate Bidders being charged other fees by ETI for participating in the RFP.

4. **Question:** Will Entergy be posting a PPA or is everything based on the term sheet?

Response: ETI does not intend to post a model PPA for the RFP. The PPA Term Sheet will serve as the basis for PPA negotiations and contracts arising out of the RFP. The PPA Term Sheet can be found at the following link:

<https://spofossil.entergy.com/ENTRFP/SEND/2024ETIExistingResourceRFP/Documents.htm>

5. **Question:** Given existing GIA, can incremental capacity which is not yet commissioned and is intended to be commissioned during the delivery window be considered – if so, for which types (PPAs/Tolls/Capacity Credits/Acquisitions)?

Response: The RFP does not contemplate proposals for capacity that is not commissioned and does not have any or sufficient NRIS or NITS for such capacity at the time of proposal submission, other than NITS Proposals and Distribution-Level Proposals (see Section 2.6.1 of the Main Body), for which special terms apply. Any such proposal would be non-conforming and subject to possible elimination from the RFP on that basis. If the proposal would be for capacity that is not commissioned but has NRIS or NITS for such capacity at the time of proposal submission and such capacity is scheduled to be in service reasonably prior to the scheduled delivery term commencement date (PPAs, Tolls, or standalone Capacity Credit Transactions) or the closing date (Acquisitions), as applicable, ETI may elect to evaluate or not evaluate the proposal based on a preliminary review of the proposal. In general, ETI would not expect capacity un-commissioned at the time of proposal submission to have NRIS or NITS that is unconditional and in full force and effect.

6. **Question:** Given existing GIA, would a bid be considered if contingent on commissioning of incremental generation capacity (i.e. if specifically identified incremental capacity is not commissioned, bid is voided)?

Response: The RFP does not contemplate proposals for capacity that would be contingent on the commissioning of the capacity by a specified date following proposal submission. Any such proposal would be non-conforming and subject to possible elimination from the RFP on that basis.

7. **Question:** If an existing ERIS resource, and an NRIS application is in progress with MISO, must a NITS Proposal still be submitted?

Response: If the proposed resource has only ERIS (or ERIS and insufficient NRIS or NITS) on the proposal submission date and Bidder does not submit a NITS Proposal for the resource, Bidder's proposal would be non-conforming and

subject to possible elimination from the RFP on that basis. Please see Section 2.6.1 of the Main Body for more information on NITS Proposals.

8. Question: May PPAs/Tolls be specified as “base load” rather than as a dispatchable resource?

Response: For this RFP, PPAs and Tolls must have operating parameters that include the ability to operate in both base load and load-following roles consistent with MISO operating rules for resources expected to provide ancillary services. This RFP is not soliciting from PPA or Tolling resources power that is non-dispatchable power.

9. Question: Where can we find information on how maintenance situations are handled (bidded capacity not available on a planned or unplanned basis)?

Response: Assuming the question relates to PPAs and Tolls, several provisions in the RFP documents address resource availability, outages, and/or maintenance, including, without limitation, Section 2.1 of the Main Body and items 11, 12, 13, 15, 28, 29, 30, and 38 in the PPA Term Sheet or the Tolling Agreement Term Sheet, as applicable.

10. Question: Can a bidder submit multiple units from a single plant under one Bid?

Response: We understand the question to be asking whether one generation facility that consists of two or more generating units that are fully integrated into and part of that facility (e.g., a 1,000 MW CCGT facility comprised of four 250 MW CCGT power blocks) may offer in a proposal submitted in the RFP power or capacity from, or the sale of, more than one of that facility’s generating units (e.g., two of the four power blocks). Based on that understanding, the answer is, yes. Please see Section 2.1 of the Main Body, which generally provides that ETI will accept for evaluation PPA and Toll proposals that offer less than the entire Capacity of the facility and comply with the RFP’s requirements for PPA and Toll proposals and includes additional important information relevant to the question.

Documents referenced in the responses above and other related information can be found at the following website:
<https://spofossil.entergy.com/ENTRFP/SEND/2024ETIExistingResourceRFP/Documents.htm>

Please let us know if you have any further questions.